1 John

General Introduction

Previous Studies of Johannine Books

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - A prophetic vision received by a man named John.

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - A prophetic vision received by a man named John.
 - His personal name, "John," appears four times. (Rev. 1:1, 4, 9; 22:8)

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - A prophetic vision received by a man named John.
 - His personal name, "John," appears four times. (Rev. 1:1, 4, 9; 22:8)
 - Traditionally he has been identified as the Apostle John, son of Zebedee (c.f. Mat. 4:21, Mark 1:19, and Luke 5:10).

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - A prophetic vision received by a man named John.
 - His personal name, "John," appears four times. (Rev. 1:1, 4, 9; 22:8)
 - Traditionally he has been identified as the Apostle John, son of Zebedee (c.f. Mat. 4:21, Mark 1:19, and Luke 5:10).
 - John, son of Zebedee, was a Jewish fisherman.

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - Written in broken Greek, in apocalyptic style, this is a revelation of Jesus given to John by God through an angel. (Rev. 1:1)

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - Written in broken Greek, in apocalyptic style, this is a revelation of Jesus given to John by God through an angel. (Rev. 1:1)
 - It is addressed in the first instance to the seven churches in Asia in which Jesus himself, within the vision, addressed doctrinal, moral, and social problems and calls for repentance. (Rev. 1-3)

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - Written in broken Greek, in apocalyptic style, this is a revelation of Jesus given to John by God through an angel. (Rev. 1:1)
 - It is addressed in the first instance to the seven churches in Asia in which Jesus himself, within the vision, addressed doctrinal, moral, and social problems and calls for repentance. (Rev. 1-3)
 - This is followed by strange visions of the overthrow of all evil and the final victory of the Lamb, including the redemption of all who belong to him and who will inherit eternal life with him.

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - If ever there was a book to motivate both believers and nonbelievers to fear God and seek eternal life in Christ, this is it!

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - If ever there was a book to motivate both believers and nonbelievers to fear God and seek eternal life in Christ, this is it!
 - It is commonly believed that all of the Johannine books were written around the end of the First Century, and that the epistles were written after the gospel.

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Revelation to John (July 2011 ~ March 2012)
 - If ever there was a book to motivate both believers and nonbelievers to fear God and seek eternal life in Christ, this is it!
 - It is commonly believed that all of the Johannine books were written around the end of the First Century, and that the epistles were written after the gospel.
 - Some think that the Revelation was written last of all, but others give it an earlier date, before the fall of Jerusalem, in which case it could have been the first of these books written.

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Gospel According to John (April 2012 ~ January 2014)

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Gospel According to John (April 2012 ~ January 2014)
 - The testimony of the "beloved disciple" as received, endorsed and transmitted by a certain group of believers (c.f. John 21:24) and with some final individual commentator (c.f. John 21:25)

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Gospel According to John (April 2012 ~ January 2014)
 - The testimony of the "beloved disciple" as received, endorsed and transmitted by a certain group of believers (c.f. John 21:24) and with some final individual commentator (c.f. John 21:25)
 - Traditionally the "beloved disciple" has been identified as the Apostle John, son of Zebedee.

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Gospel According to John (April 2012 ~ January 2014)
 - The testimony of the "beloved disciple" as received, endorsed and transmitted by a certain group of believers (c.f. John 21:24) and with some final individual commentator (c.f. John 21:25)
 - Traditionally the "beloved disciple" has been identified as the Apostle John, son of Zebedee.
 - However this identification is never made within the gospel itself, not even where such an identification would seemingly have been likely --- compare 21.2 and 21:7.

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Gospel According to John (April 2012 ~ January 2014)
 - In any case, the beloved disciple was understood by Christians to be a credible eyewitness to all that Jesus had said and done (John 21:24) and his purpose in writing, and theirs in spreading his written gospel is stated at the end of Chapter 20:

- Previous Studies of Johannine Books
 - The Gospel According to John (April 2012 ~ January 2014)
 - In any case, the beloved disciple was understood by Christians to be a credible eyewitness to all that Jesus had said and done (John 21:24) and his purpose in writing, and theirs in spreading his written gospel is stated at the end of Chapter 20:

Now Jesus did many other signs in the presence of the disciples, which are not written in this book; but these are written so that you may believe that Jesus is the Christ, the Son of God, and that by believing you may have life in his name. (John 20:30-31)

• It seems to me likely that the "beloved disciple" was the Apostle John, and that it was he to whom God gave the revelation of Christ recorded in the last book of the Bible.

- It seems to me likely that the "beloved disciple" was the Apostle John, and that it was he to whom God gave the revelation of Christ recorded in the last book of the Bible.
- This has been the traditional view.

- It seems to me likely that the "beloved disciple" was the Apostle John, and that it was he to whom God gave the revelation of Christ recorded in the last book of the Bible.
- This has been the traditional view.
- It has been questioned by modern scholars based, in large part, on significant differences in literary style.

- It seems to me likely that the "beloved disciple" was the Apostle John, and that it was he to whom God gave the revelation of Christ recorded in the last book of the Bible.
- This has been the traditional view.
- It has been questioned by modern scholars based, in large part, on significant differences in literary style.
- But such differences imply only a different writing process, it seems to me, as suggested in John 21.

 Both the Revelation and the Gospel point people toward life in Christ.

- Both the Revelation and the Gospel point people toward life in Christ.
- The strange apocalyptic visions of Revelation do tend to make us look ... forward ... to imagine the second coming of Christ and his final victory over all evil.

- Both the Revelation and the Gospel point people toward life in Christ.
- The strange apocalyptic visions of Revelation do tend to make us look ... forward ... to imagine the second coming of Christ and his final victory over all evil.
- But Revelation is also and perhaps even primarily concerned with the presence of Christ among his churches and how they are called to doctrinal and moral purity and patiently to endure present hardships.

• The wonderful stories of Jesus life on earth among his disciples, of his resurrection, and of and the coming of his spirit to dwell in and among his people do tend to make us look to our present life in Christ.

- The wonderful stories of Jesus life on earth among his disciples, of his resurrection, and of and the coming of his spirit to dwell in and among his people do tend to make us look to our present life in Christ.
- But Jesus also speaks of going to prepare a place for his disciples and of returning ... to bring them to where he is (John 14:2)

- The wonderful stories of Jesus life on earth among his disciples, of his resurrection, and of and the coming of his spirit to dwell in and among his people do tend to make us look to our present life in Christ.
- But Jesus also speaks of going to prepare a place for his disciples and of returning ... to bring them to where he is (John 14:2)
- To me neither style nor content make the common authorship of the Revelation and the Gospel unlikely

• My point is this:

• My point is this: as we approach our study of the Johannine Epistles, I am already inclined to view the Revelation to John and the Gospel According to John as proceeding from the same source (John) and proceeding to the same destination (the churches in Asia) with the same ultimate purpose --- that as many as possible may believe and have life in Christ.

• Now we turn to the question of the authorship of the Johannine Epistles, i.e. 1, 2, and 3 John.

- Now we turn to the question of the authorship of the Johannine Epistles, i.e. 1, 2, and 3 John.
- First, we should notice that nowhere in these documents is mentioned:

- Now we turn to the question of the authorship of the Johannine Epistles, i.e. 1, 2, and 3 John.
- First, we should notice that nowhere in these documents is mentioned:
 - the name "John"

- Now we turn to the question of the authorship of the Johannine Epistles, i.e. 1, 2, and 3 John.
- First, we should notice that nowhere in these documents is mentioned:
 - the name "John"
 - the word "apostle"

- Now we turn to the question of the authorship of the Johannine Epistles, i.e. 1, 2, and 3 John.
- First, we should notice that nowhere in these documents is mentioned:
 - the name "John"
 - the word "apostle"
 - the phrase "beloved disciple" (or even the word "disciple")

- Now we turn to the question of the authorship of the Johannine Epistles, i.e. 1, 2, and 3 John.
- First, we should notice that nowhere in these documents is mentioned:
 - the name "John"
 - the word "apostle"
 - the phrase "beloved disciple" (or even the word "disciple")
- In 2 and 3 John the author identifies himself as the Elder.

- Now we turn to the question of the authorship of the Johannine Epistles, i.e. 1, 2, and 3 John.
- First, we should notice that nowhere in these documents is mentioned:
 - the name "John"
 - the word "apostle"
 - the phrase "beloved disciple" (or even the word "disciple")
- In 2 and 3 John the author identifies himself as the Elder.
- In 1 John the author is not given any name or title at all.

• Traditionally all three documents (1, 2, and 3 John) have been attributed to the Apostle John, son of Zebedee.

- Traditionally all three documents (1, 2, and 3 John) have been attributed to the Apostle John, son of Zebedee.
- It has been understood that in 2 and 3 John, he prefers to refer to himself as the Elder.

- Traditionally all three documents (1, 2, and 3 John) have been attributed to the Apostle John, son of Zebedee.
- It has been understood that in 2 and 3 John, he prefers to refer to himself as the Elder.
 - This word is used elsewhere in the New Testament to describe leaders in the church and Peter uses the term to describe himself (1 Peter 5:1).

- Traditionally all three documents (1, 2, and 3 John) have been attributed to the Apostle John, son of Zebedee.
- It has been understood that in 2 and 3 John, he prefers to refer to himself as the Elder.
 - This word is used elsewhere in the New Testament to describe leaders in the church and Peter uses the term to describe himself (1 Peter 5:1).
 - John may also have been very old when these documents were written and perhaps also the only remaining one of the original disciples --- hence the Elder.

• 2 and 3 John both probably are letters.

- 2 and 3 John both probably are letters.
- Because of the similarities between them, in terms of both style and content, most would agree that they were both written by the same person --- i.e. the one who calls himself the Elder.

- 2 and 3 John both probably are letters.
- Because of the similarities between them, in terms of both style and content, most would agree that they were both written by the same person --- i.e. the one who calls himself the Elder.
- 1 John is not a letter.

- 2 and 3 John both probably are letters.
- Because of the similarities between them, in terms of both style and content, most would agree that they were both written by the same person --- i.e. the one who calls himself the Elder.
- 1 John is not a letter.
- Historically it has been classed among the so called Catholic Epistles, because it is not addressed to any specific person or group.

• While it is accurate to characterize it as "catholic" in that sense --- i.e. it has an undefined, general audience.

- While it is accurate to characterize it as "catholic" in that sense --- i.e. it has an undefined, general audience.
- However it has few if any of the features that would let us call it a letter.

- While it is accurate to characterize it as "catholic" in that sense --- i.e. it has an undefined, general audience.
- However it has few if any of the features that would let us call it a letter.
 - No known author

- While it is accurate to characterize it as "catholic" in that sense --- i.e. it has an undefined, general audience.
- However it has few if any of the features that would let us call it a letter.
 - No known author
 - No known recipient

- While it is accurate to characterize it as "catholic" in that sense --- i.e. it has an undefined, general audience.
- However it has few if any of the features that would let us call it a letter.
 - No known author
 - No known recipient
 - No salutation

- While it is accurate to characterize it as "catholic" in that sense --- i.e. it has an undefined, general audience.
- However it has few if any of the features that would let us call it a letter.
 - No known author
 - No known recipient
 - No salutation
 - No closing remarks.

- While it is accurate to characterize it as "catholic" in that sense --- i.e. it has an undefined, general audience.
- However it has few if any of the features that would let us call it a letter.
 - No known author
 - No known recipient
 - No salutation
 - No closing remarks.
 - Etc.

• So what is 1 John?

- So what is 1 John?
- Some have called it an "exhortation," meaning something written to encourage / discourage those who would read or hear it read --- encourage or discourage them from a certain way of thinking or behaving.

• 1 John is not, however, an argument carefully arranged to lead an audience to a logical conclusion.

- 1 John is not, however, an argument carefully arranged to lead an audience to a logical conclusion.
- It is rather an emotional/spiritual appeal directed to a group of Christian believers by one who has substantial pastoral authority over them.

• There are many commonalities between John's Gospel and 1 John, so much so that someone (Plummer) has described 1 John a sermon with John's Gospel as its text.

- There are many commonalities between John's Gospel and 1 John, so much so that someone (Plummer) has described 1 John a sermon with John's Gospel as its text.
- Maybe this gets us close to describing what 1 John is.

 As we begin our study of 1 John, I am going to take the view that 1 John is a sermon, which takes John's gospel as its text.

- As we begin our study of 1 John, I am going to take the view that 1 John is a sermon, which takes John's gospel as its text.
- As is clear from the start, this sermon was written to be preached first of all to a Christian community that had some problems:

- As we begin our study of 1 John, I am going to take the view that 1 John is a sermon, which takes John's gospel as its text.
- As is clear from the start, this sermon was written to be preached first of all to a Christian community that had some problems:
 - Doctrinal problems

- As we begin our study of 1 John, I am going to take the view that 1 John is a sermon, which takes John's gospel as its text.
- As is clear from the start, this sermon was written to be preached first of all to a Christian community that had some problems:
 - Doctrinal problems
 - Moral problems

- As we begin our study of 1 John, I am going to take the view that 1 John is a sermon, which takes John's gospel as its text.
- As is clear from the start, this sermon was written to be preached first of all to a Christian community that had some problems:
 - Doctrinal problems
 - Moral problems
 - Social problems

• The pastor/preacher speaks out to those who regard his speech as authoritative.

- The pastor/preacher speaks out to those who regard his speech as authoritative.
- He is appealing not so much to their intellect as he is to their common knowledge and experience as Christians.

- The pastor/preacher speaks out to those who regard his speech as authoritative.
- He is appealing not so much to their intellect as he is to their common knowledge and experience as Christians.
- He is speaking in the power of the Holy Spirit to those who are indwelled by the Holy Sprit, expecting them to perceive the truth in his exhortation.

- The pastor/preacher speaks out to those who regard his speech as authoritative.
- He is appealing not so much to their intellect as he is to their common knowledge and experience as Christians.
- He is speaking in the power of the Holy Spirit to those who are indwelled by the Holy Sprit, expecting them to perceive the truth in his exhortation.
- He maintains that I You We pattern throughout.

Can we know precisely what their issues were?

- Can we know precisely what their issues were?
- Commentators have tried to infer from this sermon which particular heresies the pastor is up against, and what particular moral and social problems.

- Can we know precisely what their issues were?
- Commentators have tried to infer from this sermon which particular heresies the pastor is up against, and what particular moral and social problems.
- There is, however, no consensus on these matters, so I
 think it is difficult and potentially misleading to read 1
 John with too much confidence in some preconceived
 notion of what issues the pastor/preacher is speaking to
 in his time and place.

 Much more useful, I think, will be to use the Gospel of John (and indeed the whole Bible) as a reference to help us to understand the points that the pastor/preacher wishes to make.

- Much more useful, I think, will be to use the Gospel of John (and indeed the whole Bible) as a reference to help us to understand the points that the pastor/preacher wishes to make.
- Some (Brown) think 1 John is mainly speaking against those who have misunderstood the Gospel of John.

- Much more useful, I think, will be to use the Gospel of John (and indeed the whole Bible) as a reference to help us to understand the points that the pastor/preacher wishes to make.
- Some (Brown) think 1 John is mainly speaking against those who have misunderstood the Gospel of John.
- I don't know if this is true, but our recent study of the Gospel of John will be helpful in any case.

- Much more useful, I think, will be to use the Gospel of John (and indeed the whole Bible) as a reference to help us to understand the points that the pastor/preacher wishes to make.
- Some (Brown) think 1 John is mainly speaking against those who have misunderstood the Gospel of John.
- I don't know if this is true, but our recent study of the Gospel of John will be helpful in any case.
- I think Revelation may be helpful as well.